


HOWARD HOLDERNESS (SBN 169814)  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: 415.442.1000  
Facsimile: 415.442.1001  
E-mail: hholderness@morganlewis.com

ROBERT A. PARTICELLI (PAB 82651) (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
1701 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Telephone: 215.963.5000  
Facsimile: 215.963.5001  
E-mail: rparticelli@morganlewis.com

Attorneys for Defendant  
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

<p>INICOM NETWORKS, INC., Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situatied,,  Plaintiff,  vs.  NVIDIA CORP., et al.,  Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 08-cv-04332-JW</p> <p><b>STIPULATION IN SUPPORT OF HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED</b></p>
<p>This Document Relates To:  <i>Waidzunas, et al. v. Hewlett-Packard, Co.,</i> Case No. 08-cv-05081-HRL</p>	

**STIPULATION IN SUPPORT OF HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED (08-cv-04332-JW)**

WHEREAS, several cases are currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California that concern substantially the same parties, underlying facts and allegations as the above-captioned matter;

WHEREAS, at least seven (7) of these other federal cases have been marked related to one another pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(b), including the above-captioned matter by Order dated December 30, 2008 (*See* Docket No. 44);

WHEREAS, another case, *Waidzunas, et al. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, 08-cv-05081-HRL (“*Waidzunas*”), was filed after the above-captioned matter and also concerns substantially the same parties, underlying facts and allegations as the above-captioned matter;

WHEREAS, if not likewise deemed related and reassigned to the Judge presiding over this and the other already-related cases, *Waidzunas* will likely create unduly burdensome and duplicative labor and expense and/or conflicting results;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the *Waidzunas* Plaintiffs and HP, through their designated counsel of record, that *Waidzunas v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, 08-cv-05081-HRL, should be marked related to the above-captioned matter pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3.12(b).

1 Dated: January 9, 2009

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

2 /s/ Robert A. Particelli

3 ROBERT A. PARTICELLI, ESQUIRE

4 Attorneys for Defendant

5 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

6  
7 Dated: January 9, 2009

SEEGER WEISS LLP

8 /s/ Jonathan Shub

9 JONATHAN SHUB, ESQUIRE

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 LANCE WAIDZUNAS, BRENT WEST,

12 MICHAEL MANN, TYLER BURGERT,

13 CHI LOK LEUNG, AND BRIAN ROBINSON

14 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

15 This order also terminated Docket Item No. 45.in C 08-04332 JW

16 DATE: January 16, 2009

17   
18 THE HONORABLE JAMES WARE  
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE